

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH
NEW DELHI

OA No.2521/2012

New Delhi, this the 1st day of August, 2012.

Hon'ble Mr.M.L.Chauhan, Member (J)
Hon'ble Mrs. Manjulika Gautam, Member(A)

1. Joint Forum of Medical Technologists of India,
(National Registered Organisation of Allied Health,
Professionals' Associations)
Through its General Secretary Sh. Kaptan Singh Sehrawat,
2. Mr. Harish Chander Pandey,
Aged about 35 years,
Laboratory Technician,
Kalawati Saran Children Hospital,
Bangla Sahib Marg,
New Delhi.
3. Mrs. Suman Khaneja,
Aged about 47 years,
Lab Technician,
Lady Hardinge Medical College &
Smt. SK Hospitals, Shaheed Bhagat Singh Marg,
New Delhi.
4. Mrs. Meera Malhotra
Aged about 50 years,
Lab Technician,
Lady Hardinge Medical College &
Smt. SK Hospital, Shaheed Bhagat Singh Marg,
New Delhi.
5. Mr. Sanjay Kaushik,
Lab Technician,
Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Hospital,
New Delhi - 110 001.
6. Mrs. Subha Bansal,
Lab Technician,
Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Hospital,
New Delhi - 110 001.

... Applicants

(By Advocate : Shri Amit Anand)
Vs.

1. Union of India
through the Union Health Secretary,
Ministry of Health & Family Welfare,
Nirman Bhawan,
New Delhi - 110 011.
2. Director General,

lv



Directorate General of Health Services,
Ministry of Health & Family Welfare,
Nirman Bhawan,
New Delhi - 110 011.

3. Secretary,
Department of Expenditure,
Ministry of Finance,
Government of India,
North Block,
New Delhi - 110 001.
4. Director,
Lady Hardinge Medical College &
Smt. SK Hospital,
Shaheed Bhagat Singh Marg,
New Delhi - 110 001.
5. Medical Superintendent,
VMMC & Safdarjung Hospital,
New Delhi - 30.
6. Medical Superintendent,
Dr. R.M.L. Hospital, New Delhi-110001.
7. Addl. Medical Superintendent,
Kalawati Saran Children's Hospital,
Bangla Sahib Marg New Delhi-110001. Respondents.

ORDER (oral)

Mr M.L.Chauhan, Member (J)

The grievance of the applicants in this case is regarding implementation of the recommendations of 6th CPC in respect of Laboratory Technicians, but the respondents herein have failed to implement the same qua the applicants whereas in cases of Lab Technicians working in various Government Hospitals and organizations, certain Ministries have implemented the same by granting higher pay scale. The case projected by the applicants in this OA is that the pay structure as recommended by the 6th CPC as granted to the Laboratory Technicians working in various government offices should also be extended to them as they are similarly placed and their duties and responsibilities are also similar.

2



2. Learned counsel for the applicants has also drawn our attention to OM dated 15th May, 2012 whereby it is evident that the Govt. is seized of the matter and cadre review of the post of Laboratory Staff is contemplated. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that he will be satisfied at this stage if a direction is given to the respondents to look into the grievance of the applicants and pass an appropriate order within time bound period.

3. In view of what has been stated above, we are of the view that the present OA can be disposed of with a direction to the respondents to treat this OA as a supplementary representation on behalf of the applicants and pass an appropriate speaking and reasoned order. Accordingly, respondent no.1 is directed to look into the grievance of the applicants as projected by them in the instant OA and pass a speaking and reasoned order within three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The OA is disposed of in the aforesaid terms. Needles to add that in the case applicants are still aggrieved by the order to be passed by the respondents, it will be open for them to file a substantive OA.

OA shall stand disposed of.

(Manjulika Gautam)
Member (A)

/sarita/

(M.L.Chauhan)
Member (J)

